## **BEFORE THE** SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI and MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY, Complainants, v. UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, DEC 1 5.5010 Defendant. part of MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY - ACQUISITION AND Finance Docket No. 33508 **OPERATION EXEMPTION - LINES OF** UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY and GRC HOLDINGS CORPORATION -ACQUISITION EXEMPTION - LINES OF Finance Docket No. 33537

#### UNION PACIFIC'S REPLY TO MOTION FOR PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

J. MICHAEL HEMMER LOUISE A. RINN ELISA B. DAVIES Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street Omaha, Nebraska 68179 (402) 544-3309

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL Covington & Burling LLP 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 662-5448

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

December 13, 2010

# BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI and MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY,	) ) ) 
Complainants,	{ NOR 42/26
v.	) ··
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,	)
Defendant.	) ) )
MISSOURI CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY – ACQUISITION AND OPERATION EXEMPTION – LINES OF	) ) Finance Docket No. 33508
UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	) )
and	)
GRC HOLDINGS CORPORATION – ACQUISITION EXEMPTION – LINES OF UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY	) ) Finance Docket No. 33537 )

### UNION PACIFIC'S REPLY TO MOTION FOR PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Union Pacific Railroad Company ("Union Pacific") hereby replies to the Motion for Procedural Schedule filed by Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri and Missouri Central Railroad Company (collectively, "Ameren/MCRR"), on November 22, 2010, in connection with Ameren/MCRR's complaint filed in the above-captioned dockets.

Union Pacific asks the Board to deny the Motion. Under the Board's rules, the parties are to meet after Union Pacific files its answer to discuss procedural matters, including a

proposed procedural schedule. See 49 C.F.R. § 1111.10(a). Ameren/MCRR offer no reason to depart from the Board's rules in this case. Moreover, UP believes that by engaging in the meet-and-confer process required by the Board's rules, the parties will be able to reach agreement on an appropriate procedural schedule, thereby eliminating any need to litigate the issue.

Respectfully submitted,

J. MICHAEL HEMMER LOUISE A. RINN ELISA B. DAVIES Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street Omaha, Nebraska 68179 (402) 544-3309 MICHAEL L. ROSENTHAL
Covington & Burling LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 662-5448

Attorneys for Union Pacific Railroad Company

December 13, 2010

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Michael L. Rosenthal, hereby certify that on this 13th day of December, 2010, I caused a copy of Union Pacific's Reply to Motion for Procedural Schedule to be served by U.S. first-class mail, postage prepaid, or by a more expeditious manner of service on:

Sandra L. Brown
David E. Benz
Thompson Hine LLP
1920 N Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

James A. Sobule Ameren Corporation 1901 Chouteau Avenue St. Louis, MO 63103

Michael L. Rosenthal